

# Mejor Publicación de la FCEYE

Enero-Octubre 2017

Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 63 (2016) 292-301

## Facultad de Ciencias Económicas y Empresariales

### Gonzalo Martín Barrera



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews







Gonzalo Martín Barrera,
Doctor por la Universidad
de Sevilla desde
noviembre de 2017. Ha
cursado Ingeniería en
Telecomunicaciones, el
Máster Universitario de
Energía Renovables de la
Universidad de Sevilla y
MBA por la Copenhagen
Business School.
Actualmente ejerce como
Asset Manager de un
proyecto termosolar en
Sudáfrica.

Application of real options valuation for analysing the impact of public R&D financing on renewable energy projects: A company's perspective



Gonzalo Martín-Barrera a,b,\*, Constancio Zamora-Ramírez b, José M. González-González b

- a Abengoa Research, Abengoa, Spain
- b Department of Accounting and Finance, University of Seville, Spain

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 16 December 2014 Received in revised form 4 September 2015 Accepted 17 May 2016 Available online 1 June 2016

Keywords: Real options (RO) Research and development (R&D) Public finance

#### ABSTRACT

This paper contrasts the financial benefit of grants given to research and development projects with the reduction in managerial flexibility they impose, which implies a loss in the value of the project. To quantify this loss of value, real options analysis has been adopted as the most suitable framework to assess and quantify managerial flexibility. This idea is illustrated by the case of a research and development project in renewable energy, specifically Concentrated Solar Power. Our results show that the value added by the grants is less than the nominal incentives received as a result of the loss of flexibility for managers; they cannot apply certain options such as deferral, nor can they abandon the project. Therefore, a break-even grant is proposed that equals the financial incentive with the loss of managerial flexibility. Under this break-even point, the grant should be rejected. This paper will create awareness for both policy makers and private companies regarding a more accurate assessment of grants to research and development funding as well as provide insights to improve grant schemes without increasing the amount of public funds.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.